



PATENT APPLICATION
Docket No. 8750-015
Client No. SPX200108-0008US

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Kyu-Nam Lim Conf. No. 7534
Serial No. 10/075,472 Examiner: Nguyen, Viet Q.
Filed: February 13, 2002 Art Unit: 2818
For: SEMICONDUCTOR MEMORY DEVICE AND DATA
 READ METHOD THEREOF

Mail Stop Issue Fee
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TRANSMITTAL LETTER


Enclosed for filing in the above-referenced application are the following:

- ☒ Applicant's Comments on Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance
- ☒ Publication and Issue Fee
- ☒ In connection with issuance of a patent:
 - ☐ Supplemental Declaration ☒ PTO Form 85B
- ☒ PTO Form 2038 authorizing credit card payment of \$1630.00, issue fee (\$1330.00) and publication fee (\$300.00) is enclosed.
- ☒ Any deficiency or overpayment should be charged or credited to deposit account number 13-1703.

Customer No. 20575


Respectfully submitted,

MARGER JOHNSON & McCOLLOM, P.C.


Alan T. McCollom
Reg. No. 28,881

MARGER JOHNSON & McCOLLOM, P.C.
1030 SW Morrison Street
Portland, OR 97205
503-222-3613

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
Date: January 20, 2004


Adrienne Chocholak

The PTO did not receive the following listed item(s) *credit card payment*

1004770-0101 we did receive \$1630.00



PATENT APPLICATION
Docket No. 8750-015
Client No. SPX200108-008US

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:	Kyu-Nam Lim	Conf. No.	7534
Serial No.	10/075,472	Examiner:	Nguyen, Viet Q.
Filed:	February 13, 2002	Art Unit:	2818
For:	SEMICONDUCTOR MEMORY DEVICE AND DATA READ METHOD THEREOF		

Mail Stop Issue Fee
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**APPLICANT'S COMMENTS ON EXAMINER'S STATEMENT OF REASONS
FOR ALLOWANCE**

The applicant thanks the Examiner for allowing all of the pending claims over the prior art. In discussing the allowed subject matter, the Examiner has recited a feature present in independent claim 1, and stated that the claimed invention was not taught by the prior art.

The applicant would like to note that it is a well known tenet of patent law that patent claims are read as a whole, rather than phrase by phrase or element by element. Therefore, the scope of the allowed claims is not necessarily limited to only the claim elements that the Examiner reproduced, but rather, to the language of the claims themselves, in their entirety.

In addition, the applicants note that there are three independent claims allowed in this case, as well as dependent claims that depend from some of the allowed independent claims. Each of these allowed claims includes particular features and particular claim language, and therefore each may have a scope different from the others allowed in this case.

Customer No. 20575

Respectfully submitted,


MARGER JOHNSON & McCOLLOM, P.C.



Alan T. McCollom
Reg. No. 28,881

MARGER JOHNSON & McCOLLOM, P.C.
1030 SW Morrison Street
Portland, OR 97205
503-222-3613

I hereby certify that this correspondence
is being deposited with the United States
Postal Service as first class mail in an
envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Issue
Fee, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box
1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
Date: January 20, 2004


Adrienne Chocholak